

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,584	03/10/2004	Se-Hwan Son	B-4265DIVofPCT 621722-3	6343
Richard P. Berg	7590 02/20/200	EXAMINER		
c/o LADAS &		YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE		
Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire l	Davilavand	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C		1774		
,				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/798,584	SON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	lovember 2006 and 05 December	· 2006.				
· = · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 9-20</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9-13,15 and 18-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14 and 16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	, -					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informat F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 1774

1. This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment received November 20, 2006, which amends claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 12 and 14-19, and cancels claims 5 and 8.

In the amendment, claims 14 and 16 are listed as "Previously Presented", but both contain changes relative to the prior version of the claims. (See the objection to these claims for informalities as set forth later in this action.)

Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9-20 are pending.

- 2. The examiner acknowledges receipt of certified translations of foreign priority applications KR 1999-67746 (12/31/1999) and KR 2000-82085 (12/26/2000).
- 3. The terminal disclaimer filed on December 05, 2006, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,720,573, has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 4. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, as set forth in the Office action mailed August 17, 2006 is overcome by amendment with respect to the issues raised for claims 15, 18 and 19. The issues raised regarding the scope of "aromatic hydrocarbon" as recited in claims 1, 12 and 17, and the scope of "aromatic group" as recited in claims 6 and 7, are withdrawn in consideration of applicant's arguments.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) based on Ueno et al. (US 6,436,599 B1) is withdrawn in consideration of the certified translation of applicant's foreign priority application KR 1999-67746. The '746 priority application fully supports the rejected claims and was filed prior to the effective U.S. filing date of Ueno's application.

The rejection of claims 5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on US 6,720,573 B2 is rendered moot by claim cancellation.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection based on US 6,720,573 B2 is overcome by the terminal disclaimer filed December 05, 2006.

The miscellaneous issues noted in the August 17<sup>th</sup> action have been corrected by applicant's amendment.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Czarnik et al. (US 4,780,536).

Czarnik et al. disclose compounds represented by Chemical Formula 1 as defined in claim 17. The preamble recitation of "layer" is considered to be met by Czarnik's disclosure of the compounds as being obtained as solids. The preamble recitation of "hole-injecting" indicates

Application/Control Number: 10/798,584

Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

the intended use of the layer and places no positive limitations on the layer beyond the requirements imposed by the formula. It is reasonable to expect that the compounds disclosed by Czarnik et al. that are within the scope of present Chemical Formula 1, such as the compound of Czarnik's Example 1 and claim 2 (which is the compound of applicant's Chemical Formula 1a), could be used for the same purpose.

7. Applicant's arguments filed November 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the patentability of claim 17 over the patent to Czarnik et al.

Applicant argues that Czarnik et al. do not disclose a hole injecting layer comprising a compound represented by Chemical Formula 1. Applicant argues that Czarnik et al. do not disclose a "layer" of the compound.

In describing the synthesis of the compounds, Czarnik et al. describe the product (the compound) in solid form. It is the examiner's position that Czarnik's "solid" product meets the limitations of a "layer".

- 8. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-13, 15 and 18-20 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 14 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 2 of claim 14, "capable" should read --capability--.

In line 1 of claim 16, --device-- should be inserted after "light-emitting".

Art Unit: 1774

Appropriate correction is required. Claims 14 and 16 will be allowable upon correction of these informalities.

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Monday-Friday.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY

February 15, 2007

MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Janutzky

1774